Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/783,347	YOSHIMURA, HIDEYOSHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KENNETH TANG	2195	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Now allowed</u>		
(1) <u>KENNETH TANG</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Steven M. Jensen (Reg. No. 42,693)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>8 May 2009</u>	Time: <u>2:30pm</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:  NA			
Claims discussed: 1, 12-15			
Prior art documents discussed:  NA			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
/Kenneth Tang/ Examiner, Art Unit 2195	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to for independent claims 1 and 13-15 to be amended to incorporate the allowable subject matter of claim 12. Furthermore, it was agreed to amend claims 1 and 13-15 to positively recite that the first processor "executes" an instruction set, and the second processor "executes" at least an instruction subset. This agreement has placed the application in condition for allowance.